

REMARKS

After entry of the above amendments, the claims pending in the subject application are 1-11 and 15-26. Reconsideration of this application based on the Amendments and Remarks presented herein is respectfully requested.

It is acknowledged that claims 1, 3, 5, 9-11, 15-22, 25, and 26 are allowed.

Claims 4 and 22 have been amended to correct the typographical error in "silicon".

35 U.S.C. §112 REJECTIONS

Claims 2, 6-8, 23, and 24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. In claim 2, the language indicated that R^2 was all of the groups that followed. Claim 2 has been amended to indicate that R^2 is selected from the group. It is respectfully submitted that claim 2 is not indefinite.

In claim 6, it was not clear if the additional constituent is the same as (C) in claim 1. Claim 6 has been amended to delete the reference to "(C)" to indicate that the at least one suitable additional constituent is different from "(C)" in claim 1. It is respectfully submitted that claim 6 is not indefinite.


In claim 7, there is a reference to R groups in formula II, but there are no R groups in formula II. Claim 7 has been amended to refer to formula III instead of formula II. Support for this amendment can be found on page 31, lines 10-11. It is respectfully submitted that claims 7 and 8 are not indefinite.

In claims 23 and 24, it is not clear if the additional constituent is the same as in claim 1, and the amounts of the at least one additional constituent when added to the minimum amounts for (A) and (B) would exceed 100%. Claims 23 and 24 have been amended similar to claim 6 to delete the reference to "(C)" to indicate that the at least one suitable additional constituent is

different from "(C)" in claim 1. Also, since a total of the constituents cannot exceed 100%, the amounts for the at least one additional constituent have been amended based on the combined minimum amounts for (A) and (B). It is respectfully submitted that claims 23 and 24 are not indefinite.

In view of the amendments and remarks contained above, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the application, withdrawal of the 35 USC §112 rejections, and request that a Formal Notice of Allowance be issued for claims 1-11 and 15-26. Should the Examiner have any questions about the above remarks, the undersigned attorney would welcome a telephone call.

Respectfully submitted,


Michael F. Morgan, Esq. (Reg. No. 42,906)
(248) 948-2355
BASF Corporation
26701 Telegraph Road
Southfield, MI 48034-2442

Date: 27 August 2003

OFFICIAL

FAX RECEIVED
AUG 27 2003
TC 1700